

Response ID ANON-B3JU-DSQY-N

Submitted to **Local Development Plan Main Issues Report 2019 Consultation**
Submitted on **2019-05-11 19:31:08**

About You

What is your name?

Name:

Sarah Wingrove

What is your organisation?

Organisation:

On behalf of:

How can we contact you?

Email:

[REDACTED]

Telephone:

Address:

[REDACTED]

How to Complete

Appendix 1 Proposed Draft New Policies

Policy D2 Amenity

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy D5 Advertisements and Signage

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy D8 Shopfronts

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy D9 Windows and Doors

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy H4 Housing Mix and Housing for Particular Needs

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy H8 Residential Care Facilities

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy H9 Student Accommodation Developments

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy H10 Houses in Multiple Occupation

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy NC9 City Centre Living

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy NC10 24-hour City

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy NC11 Visitor Attractions and Facilities

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Policy NC12 Public Art Contribution

Do you have any comments on the policy?:

Additional Documents

Please include comments on other documents below:

Please include comments on other documents below::

Response to development proposal at B1308 Royal Devenick Park Phase 1

I object to this development proposal on the following grounds:

1) The site and surrounding land are currently a mix of farmland and green space. National and local land use and planning policies aim to develop derelict and brownfield sites within the city in preference to new greenfield sites. This development would be a classic case of urban sprawl, yet numerous potential brownfield development sites exist in already built-up areas deep within Aberdeen City.

2) This site contains areas that are important for both wildlife and recreation [REDACTED]

3) One of our national policies to mitigate climate change is to greatly increase the area of woodland cover. This development would require some deforestation. Loss of productive farmland is also undesirable.

4) The scale and style of this development is completely out of keeping with the existing housing. It would greatly increase peak hour traffic on local roads, especially Leggart Terrace, which already suffers from rush hour queues and pollution.

5) In the current and projected economic climate, do we really need all of this additional housing and even more commercial units???? We need to be smarter and make more of what we already have, e.g. Union Street!

Yours faithfully
Sarah Wingrove

Additional Files

If you have further information you would like to provide you may upload it here.:

No file was uploaded