

Integrated Impact Assessment

The purpose of an Integrated Impact Assessment is to evidence that Aberdeen City Council is meeting its legislative duties by assessing the potential impacts of its policies and decisions on different groups of people and the environment. The legislation considered within this assessment is:

- Section 2 [Equality Act 2010 protected characteristics](#)
- Section 3 [Socio-Economic](#)
- Section 4 [Consumer Duty](#)
- Section 5 [Human Rights](#)
- Section 6 [Children and Young People’s Rights](#)
- Section 7 [Environmental impacts](#)

The term ‘policy’ is used throughout this document and applies to policies, proposals, strategies, provision, criteria, functions, practice, budget savings and activities that includes delivery of our services.

1. About the Policy

1.1 Title
Reduction in capital rolling programmes for property, roads and fleet.
1.2 What does this policy seek to achieve?
A reduction in capital spend leading to reduced borrowing costs.
1.3 Is this a strategic programme/proposal/decision?
This proposal will help inform the future budget savings consideration for its annual budget setting.
1.4 Is this a new or existing policy?
New.
1.5 Is this report going to a committee?
Yes, part of budget papers.
1.6 Committee name and date:
Council Budget Meeting 5 March 2025
1.7 Report no and / or Budget proposal number and / or Business Case reference number:
CR-13
1.8 Function and Cluster:
City Regeneration & Environment / Capital

Impacts

Aberdeen City Council has a legal requirement as a public sector organisation to assess the impact of its work on equality groups and assess against human rights, children’s rights and our socio-economic duty. This is our Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED). The PSED has three key parts:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation or any other prohibited conduct.
- Advance equality of opportunity.
- Foster good relations by tackling prejudice, promoting understanding.

This following five sections in the Integrated Impact Assessment demonstrate that these considerations that have been made in the policy, that the impact of proposals made is understood and accepted, and what mitigating steps can be taken to reduce any negative impact of the policy.

2: Equality Act 2010 - Protected Characteristics

Aberdeen City Council wants to ensure everyone is treated fairly. This section identifies what impact the policy may have on people with [protected characteristics](#).

2.1 What impact could this policy have on any of the below groups?

Protected Characteristic	Negative			Neutral	Positive
	High	Medium	Low		
Age	X				
Disability	X				
Gender Reassignment				X	
Marriage and Civil Partnership				X	
Pregnancy and Maternity				X	
Race				X	
Religion or Belief				X	
Sex				X	
Sexual Orientation				X	

2.2 In what way will the policy impact people with these protected characteristics?

The budget proposal would result in a very significant reduction in capital funding across a number of rolling programme budgets which are primarily related to property, roads and fleet. The indicative amount of financial saving is approximately equivalent to reducing current budgets by a third of the value of planned improvement works across the three areas.

A reduced budget would mean the ‘waiting time’ for works to be carried out would have to increase. High risk impact on net zero route.

The projects included within the Condition & Suitability Programme can positively impact on protected characteristics e.g. Accessibility improvements would positively impact on Age and Disability.

It is not possible to determine which projects specifically would not be included in the programme. Given there is a project prioritisation process in place and the proposed reduction is very significant, it is considered that there would be a high negative impact on the protected characteristics of Age and Disability, in light of the expected increase in ‘waiting time’.

2.3 What considerations have been made in reaching the above assessment?

What internal or external data has been considered? What does this data tell us?

No additional data has been considered.

What consultation and engagement has been undertaken with officers and partner organisations?

Chief Officers from all other services have had sight of all budget options being put forward.

What consultation and engagement has been undertaken with people who may be impacted by this policy?

Aberdeen City Council undertook a two-part public consultation around the 2025/26 Budget and future spending plans from August 2024 to November 2024.

In Part 1, conducted throughout August 2024, participants were asked to provide feedback on four key areas: Budget Options, Council Tax, Service Area Prioritisation, and Capital Programme Expenditure. Under Budget Options, respondents were queried about the potential impacts of proposed options, including both reduction strategies and income-boosting charges. Regarding Council Tax, input was sought on acceptable levels of increase and their potential impacts. Citizens were also invited to express their views on which service areas should be prioritised for spending and to offer their opinions on capital programme expenditure.

There were 4278 responses across the four areas consulted on during part 1 of the online consultation. Respondents had the option to indicate which protected characteristics would be affected.

Various approaches were offered to support participation.

2.4 What mitigations can be put in place?

What can be done to remove or reduce any negative impacts of this policy (if applicable)?

None are identified at present; however it is noted that there is an opportunity that suggestions may come forward through Officer consideration prior to Budget Day. It is noted that the impacts would still be high, even with mitigation in place.

With mitigations in place, what is the new overall rating of the negative impact(s)?

High	X (where indicated above).
Medium	
Low	
Negative Impact Removed	

3: Socio-Economic Impacts

Aberdeen City Council has a duty to reduce the inequalities of outcome that can arise from socio-economic disadvantage. This section is used to consider what impact the policy may have on people experiencing socio-economic disadvantage – and how any inequalities of outcome arising from the policy can be reduced.

Use this guide to understand more on socio-economic inequalities: [The Fairer Scotland Duty: Guidance for Public Bodies \(www.gov.scot\)](http://www.gov.scot)

3.1 What impact could this policy have on people who experience the following aspects of socio-economic disadvantage?

	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Low income – those who have insufficient earnings to meet basic needs, such as food, clothing, housing, or utilities.	x		
Low/ no wealth – those who have no savings for unexpected spend or provision for the future.	x		
Material deprivation – those who cannot afford or access goods or services that are considered essential or desirable for a decent quality of life, such as food, clothing, heating, transport, internet, cultural, recreational and social activities.	x		
Area deprivation – those who live in an area with poor living conditions, such as higher levels of crime, pollution, noise, congestion, or lack of infrastructure, amenities, or green spaces.	x		
Socio-economic background – social class, parents’ education, employment, income.	x		

3.2 In what way will the policy impact people experiencing socio-economic disadvantage?

The budget proposal would result in a very significant reduction in capital funding across a number of rolling programme budgets which are primarily related to property, roads and fleet. The indicative amount of financial saving is approximately equivalent to reducing current budgets by a third of the value of planned improvement works across the three areas.

A smaller budget would mean the ‘waiting time’ for works to be carried out would have to increase. High risk impact on net zero route.

The projects included within the Condition & Suitability Programme can positively impact on protected characteristics e.g. Accessibility improvements would positively impact on Age and Disability.

It is not possible to determine which projects specifically would not be included in the programme. Given there is a project prioritisation process in place and the proposed reduction is significant, it is considered that there would be a high negative impact on the protected characteristics of Age and Disability, in light of the expected increase in ‘waiting time’, with specific regard to the ‘rolling programme referenced as ‘Corporate Property Condition & Suitability’.

3.3 What considerations have been made in reaching the above assessment?

What internal or external data has been considered? What does this data tell us?
No additional data has been considered.
What consultation and engagement has been undertaken with officers and partner organisations?
Chief Officers from all other services have had sight of all budget options being put forward.

What consultation and engagement has been undertaken with people who may be impacted by this policy?

Aberdeen City Council undertook a two-part public consultation around the 2025/26 Budget and future spending plans from August 2024 to November 2024.

In Part 1, conducted throughout August 2024, participants were asked to provide feedback on four key areas: Budget Options, Council Tax, Service Area Prioritisation, and Capital Programme Expenditure. Under Budget Options, respondents were queried about the potential impacts of proposed options, including both reduction strategies and income-boosting charges. Regarding Council Tax, input was sought on acceptable levels of increase and their potential impacts. Citizens were also invited to express their views on which service areas should be prioritised for spending and to offer their opinions on capital programme expenditure.

There were 4278 responses across the four areas consulted on during part 1 of the online consultation. Respondents had the option to indicate which protected characteristics would be affected.

Various approaches were offered to support participation.

3.4 What mitigations can be put in place?

What can be done to remove or reduce any negative impacts of this policy (if applicable)?

None are identified at present, however it is noted that there is an opportunity that suggestions may come forward through Officer consideration prior to Budget Day. It is noted that the impacts would still be high, even with mitigation in place.

If mitigations are in place, does this remove or reduce the negative impact?

No – negative impact remains

X
(where indicated above).

Yes – negative impact reduced

Yes - negative impact removed

4: Consumer Impacts

The Consumer Scotland Act 2020 places a Consumer Duty on the public sector to put consumer interests at the heart of strategic decision-making, emphasising the need for accessible and affordable public services, especially during times of financial pressure. This person-centred approach is intended to result in better quality services and outcomes for the public as consumers of public services across Scotland.

This section of the IIA is used to consider the impact of the policy on consumers of any services that the policy is intended to change.

Use this guide to understand more on the consumer duty: [How to meet the consumer duty: guidance for public authorities](#)

4.1 What impact could this policy have on any of the below consumer groups?

	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Individuals	x		
Small businesses	x		

4.2 In what way will the policy impact people in these consumer groups?

The budget proposal would result in a significant reduction in capital funding across a number of rolling programme budgets which are primarily related to property, roads and fleet. The indicative amount of financial saving is approximately equivalent to reducing current budgets by a third of the value of planned improvement works across the three areas.

A reduced budget would mean the 'waiting time' for works to be carried out would have to increase. High risk impact on net zero route.

There would be a negative impact for those individuals having to wait longer for works to be carried out, and due to the proposed level of budget reduction there will be less projects for businesses to supply materials (suppliers) and for Contractors to use them for project related construction/installation purposes.

4.3 What mitigations can be put in place?

What can be done to remove or reduce any negative impacts of this policy (if applicable)?

None are identified at present, however it is noted that there is an opportunity that suggestions may come forward through Officer consideration prior to Budget Day. It is noted that the impacts would still be high, even with mitigation in place.

If mitigations are in place, does this remove or reduce the negative impact?

No – negative impact remains

X
(where indicated above).

Yes – negative impact reduced

Yes - negative impact removed

5: Human Rights Impacts

The Human Rights Act 1998 sets out the fundamental rights and freedoms that everyone in the UK is entitled to. It incorporates the rights set out in the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) into domestic British law. The Human Rights Act came into force in the UK in October 2000

The Act sets out our human rights in a series of 'Articles'. Each Article deals with a different right.

Use this guide to understand more about [Human Rights](#).

5.1 What impact could this policy have on Human Rights?

Human Rights Article	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Article 2: Right to life		X	
Article 4: Prohibition of slavery and forced labour		X	
Article 5: Right to liberty and security		X	
Article 6: Right to a fair trial		X	
Article 7: No punishment without law		X	
Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence		X	
Article 9: Freedom of thought, belief and religion		X	
Article 10: Freedom of expression		X	
Article 11: Freedom of assembly and association		X	
Article 12: Right to marry and start a family		X	
Article 14: Protection from discrimination in respect of these rights and freedoms		X	
Article 1 of Protocol 1: Right to peaceful enjoyment of your property		X	
Article 2 of Protocol 1: Right to education		X	
Article 3 of Protocol 1: Right to participate in free elections		x	

5.2 In what way will the policy impact Human Rights?

No impact to Human Rights.

5.3 What mitigations can be put in place?

What can be done to remove or reduce any negative impacts of this policy (if applicable)?	
N/A	
If mitigations are in place, does this remove or reduce the negative impact?	No – negative impact remains
	Yes – negative impact reduced
	Yes - negative impact removed

6: Children and Young People’s Rights Impacts

The United Nations Convention has 54 articles that cover all aspects of a child’s life and set out the civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights that all children everywhere are entitled to. It also explains how adults and governments must work together to make sure all children can enjoy all their rights.

Children’s rights apply to every child/young person under the age of 18 and to adults still eligible to receive a “children’s service” e.g. care leavers aged 18-26 years old.

You can [read the full UN Convention \(pdf\)](#), or [just a summary \(pdf\)](#), to find out more about the rights that are included.

6.1 What impact could this policy have on the rights of Children and Young People?

	Negative	Neutral	Positive
PROVISION			
Article 2: non-discrimination		X	
Article 3: best interests of the child provision and protection		X	
Article 5: parental guidance and a child's evolving capacities		X	
Article 16: right to privacy		X	
Article 17: access to information from the media		X	
Article 18: parental responsibilities and state assistance		X	
Article 22: refugee children		X	
Article 23: children with a disability		X	
Article 24: health and health services		X	
Article 26: social security		X	
Article 27: adequate standard of living		X	
Article 28: right to education		X	
Article 29: goals of education		X	
Article 30: children from minority or indigenous groups		X	
Article 31: leisure, play and culture		X	
Article 39: recovery from trauma and reintegration		X	
Article 40: juvenile justice		X	
PROTECTIONX			
Article 6: life, survival and development		X	
Article 7: birth registration, name, nationality, care		X	
Article 8: protection and preservation of identity		X	
Article 9: Separation from parents		X	
Article 10: family reunification protection		X	
Article 11: abduction and non-return of children		X	
Article 15: freedom of association		X	
Article 19: protection from violence, abuse and neglect		X	
Article 20: children unable to live with their family		X	
Article 21: adoption		X	
Article 25: review of treatment in care		X	
Article 33: drug abuse		X	
Article 34: sexual exploitation		X	
Article 35: abduction, sale and trafficking		X	
Article 36: other forms of exploitation		X	
Article 37: inhumane treatment and detention		X	
Article 38: war and armed conflicts		X	

Article 32: child labour		X	
PARTICIPATION			
Article 12: respect for the views of the child		X	
Article 13: freedom of expression		X	
Article 14: freedom of thought, belief and religion		X	
Article 42: knowledge of rights		X	

6.2 In what way will the policy impact the rights of Children and Young People?

No impact on the rights of Children and Young People.

6.3 What mitigations can be put in place?

What can be done to remove or reduce any negative impacts of this policy (if applicable)?

N/A

If mitigations are in place, does this remove or reduce the negative impact?

No – negative impact remains

Yes – negative impact reduced

Yes - negative impact removed

7: Environmental Impacts

Aberdeen City Council has a duty to meet its legal environmental responsibilities by working towards Net Zero emissions, adapting to climate change, and acting in a way it considers most sustainable. We must also fulfil the [biodiversity duty](#) and [sustainable procurement duty](#).

This section in the Integrated Impact Assessment demonstrates that these considerations that have been made in the policy, that the impact of proposals made is understood and accepted, and what mitigating steps can be taken to reduce any negative impact of the policy.

Use this guide to understand more on the legal climate change duty: [Climate change - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](#) and find out more about how Aberdeen is adapting to Climate Change: [Aberdeen Adapts | Aberdeen City Council](#)

7.1 What is the impact of this policy on any of the below climate, environmental and waste considerations?

	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Council or City-wide carbon emissions	X		
Active and sustainable travel		X	
Facilities for local living		X	
Resilience and adaptability to flooding and weather events		X	
Biodiversity improvement and wildlife/habitat connectivity		X	
Water consumption and drainage		X	
Pollution (air, water, noise, light and land contamination)		X	
Impact on resource use and waste		X	
Sustainable procurement of goods and services		X	

7.2 In what way will the policy impact the environment?

Reducing the budget which is related to fleet will lead to a delay in the Council's conversion of its vehicles to be able to use alternative fuels such as electricity or hydrogen. In theory, fleet conversion budget cuts will reduce the Council's fleet contribution in reducing carbon emissions and thereby retaining the reliance on fossil fuels over a longer period.

Similarly the same principle will apply if there was any reduction to the 'Corporate Property Condition and Suitability' budget, with regard to any work related energy-saving improvements that may apply to buildings.

7.3 What considerations have been made in reaching the above assessment?

What internal or external data has been considered? What does this data tell us?

No additional data has been considered.

What consultation and engagement has been undertaken with local groups, partner organisations, experts etc? Where required, identify any other environmental assessments that have been completed.

Aberdeen City Council undertook a two-part public consultation around the 2025/26 Budget and future spending plans from August 2024 to November 2024.

In Part 1, conducted throughout August 2024, participants were asked to provide feedback on four key areas: Budget Options, Council Tax, Service Area Prioritisation, and Capital Programme Expenditure. Under Budget Options, respondents were queried about the potential impacts of proposed options, including both reduction strategies and income-boosting charges. Regarding Council Tax, input was sought on acceptable levels of increase and their potential impacts. Citizens were also invited to

express their views on which service areas should be prioritised for spending and to offer their opinions on capital programme expenditure.

There were 4278 responses across the four areas consulted on during part 1 of the online consultation. Respondents had the option to indicate which protected characteristics would be affected.

Various approaches were offered to support participation.

7.4 What mitigations can be put in place?

What can be done to remove or reduce any negative impacts of this policy (if applicable)?

None are identified at present, however it is noted that there is an opportunity that suggestions may come forward through Officer consideration prior to Budget Day. It is noted that the impacts would still be high, even with mitigation in place.

If mitigations are in place, does this remove or reduce the negative impact?

No – negative impact remains

X
(where indicated above).

Yes – negative impact reduced

Yes - negative impact removed

8: Sign Off

Any further positive or negative impacts on individuals or groups that have been considered?
None.
Does the policy relate to the Council's Equality Outcomes? If yes, how.
Yes, in respect of the budget consultation allows for all the city's citizens to respond.
Overall summary of changes made to the policy as a result of impact assessment.
Feedback regarding this policy has still to be gathered.
Outline how the impact of policy will be monitored.
The results from the budget consultation process will help us to gauge public opinion and to understand the impact of any future spending decisions. Policy is not implemented at the time of writing so there is no impact to monitor.
If there are any remaining negative impacts after mitigation, what is the justification for why this policy should proceed.
Aberdeen City Council has a legal duty to deliver a balanced revenue budget to fund the day-to-day running of services. The benefits and disbenefits of this option will be part of a wider consideration of options. This policy is one of a myriad of options under consideration to help achieve the balanced revenue budget legal requirement.

Assessment Author	John Wilson
Date	18 January 2025
Chief Officer	John Wilson
Date	18 January 2025