| 5. | Site Details | |
|-----|--|--|
| 5.1 | What name would you like the site to be known by? (Please note if the site is currently included within the ALDP2017 please use the OP site number) | Lovers' Walk |
| 5.2 | Site Address | Land adjacent to Pittengullies Brae, Peterculter |
| 5.3 | Postcode | |
| 5.4 | Have you any information for the site on the internet? If so please provide the web address: | No |
| 5.5 | Is the site currently being marketed? | No |
| 5.6 | Site Location Map (Please include an OS Map with the Boundary of the site clearly marked) | Please see attached |
| 5.7 | Please provide the National Grid reference of the site. | NJ848006 |
| 5.8 | What is the current use of the site? | Agriculture/Equestrian |
| 5.9 | Has there been any previous development on the site? If yes please provide details | No |

| 6. | Legal and Planning History | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|---|
| 6.1 | Please indicate the relationship | Sole owner | |
| | to the Proposer or Person / | Part owner | |
| | Organisation they are working on behalf of, has with the site. | Option to purchase | х |
| | | No legal interest | |
| 6.2 | Is the site under option to a developer? | Yes | |
| 6.3 | Is the proposed site included in the ALDP2017? | No | |
| 6.4 | Is the proposed site included in the Aberdeen City Centre Masterplan? | No | |
| 6.5 | Has the site been subject of previous discussions with the Council or any agent there of? | No | |
| 6.6 | Has the site been subject of previous Planning Applications? (Please provide a planning reference) | No | |
| 6.7 | Has the site been subject of a previous Bid to a previous LDP? (Please provide the bid reference number) | Yes - B0935 | |
| 6.8 | Are there any legal restrictions on the title deeds such as rights of way, way leaves etc. | No | |
| 6.9 | Are there any other legal factors that might prevent or restrict development? (e.g. ransom strips / issues with accessing the site etc.) | No | |

| 7. | Your Proposal | |
|------------|---|---|
| | (Please provide as much detail as p | possible on your site proposal) |
| 7.1 | Proposed Use | Housing x |
| | | Employment |
| | | Mixed Use |
| | | Retail |
| | | Other (Please Specify) |
| 7.2 | Do you have a specific | Yes / No |
| | occupier in mind for the | Details: |
| | site? | |
| 7.3 | Site Area (hectares) | 2.4ha |
| | (1000) | |
| | Housing | |
| 7.4 | Approx no of units | 40.42 |
| 7.4 7.5 | Approx. no of units. | 10-12 units Exact details of the mix can only be provided at the planning |
| 7.5 | Proposed Mix and Number (Number of Flats / Terraced / | application stage having regard to prevailing market conditions and |
| | Semi-detached / detached etc.) | demand at that time. The proposals are sufficiently flexible to |
| | , | satisfy the housing requirements likely to emerge through the Strategic Development Plan. |
| 7.0 | A(() | |
| 7.6 | Affordable Housing | 25% |
| | Percentage | |
| 7.7 | Affordable Housing Partner | No |
| | (Details of any partner | |
| | organisation, Registered Social | |
| | Landlord etc.) | |
| 7.8 | Tenure | Not yet determined |
| 7.0 | (Details of tenure type, Private | , tot yet determined |
| | Rental Sector / private sale / | |
| | Housing for the elderly etc.) | |
| | | |
| | Employment | |
| | Linployment | |
| 7.9 | Business and Office | m ² |
| 7.10 | General Industrial | m^2 |
| 7.11 | Storage and distribution | m^2 |
| 7.12 | Other Please specify | m^2 |
| | | |
| | Mixed Use | |
| 7.13 | (Please provide as much detail as p Housing | No of units and type:- |
| | Employment | m ² |
| 7.17 | | ''' |
| 7.15 | Retail | m ² |
| | | |
| | Retail | |
| | Notali | |
| 7.16 | Approx. floor area | m ² |

| | Other (Please Specify examples could income and recreation, institutions and education) | cation.) |
|------|---|----------|
| 7.17 | Details of proposal | |
| 7.18 | Approx. floor area | m^2 |

| 8. | Engagement and Delivery | |
|-----|--|---|
| 8.1 | Has the local community been given the opportunity to influence/partake in the development proposal? | If there has been any community engagement please provide details of the way in which it was carried out and how it has influenced your proposals. If no consultation has yet taken place please detail how you will do so in the future. |
| | | Not specifically, but the site has been subject to public scrutiny through previous LPD processes. |
| 8.2 | Will the proposed development be phased? | Yes |
| 8.3 | Expected development start post adoption of the plan in 2022 | Year 0-5 |
| 8.4 | Expected development completion | Year 5 |
| 8.5 | Is finance in place and if so what form? (Secured Loan, Grant Funding etc.) | Yes, Company Banking Facility |
| 8.6 | Are there any other issues with the delivery of the site that we should be made aware of? (These should include any issues which may prevent or impact on the deliverability of the site.) | No |

| 9. | Sustainable Development and | Design | |
|------|---|---|------------|
| 9.1 | Have you applied principles of sustainable siting and design to your site? The City Council has produced a Sustainability Checklist which provides guidance on the principles of sustainable siting and design and other issues which can be found on www.aberdeencity.gov.uk . Please provide the following information: | | |
| | Orientation | | |
| 9.2 | Exposure:- (does the site currently have) | Little shelter from northerly winds Some shelter from northerly winds Good shelter from northerly winds | |
| 9.3 | Aspect:- (is the site mainly) | North facing East or west facing South, south west or south east facing | × |
| 9.4 | Slope:- (do any parts of the site have a gradient greater than 1 in 12?) | Yes If yes approx. what area (hectares or %) No | x |
| | Flooding & Drainage | | |
| 9.5 | Flooding (is any part of the site at risk of flooding or has it previous flooded, if so provide detail You can view the SEPA flood maps at http://map.sepa.org.uk/floodmap/map.htm) | Yes (If yes please use the SEPA flood maps to determine the risk) | |
| | | Little or No Risk Low to Medium Risk | X |
| | | Medium to High Risk | |
| | | If yes approx. what area (hectares or %) | |
| | | No | |
| 9.6 | Has a flooding strategy been developed for the site? | The southern part of the site may be pror flooding, but is not promoted for developr housing to be sited on the northern half o | ment, with |
| 9.7 | Have discussions been had with the Council's flooding team? | N/A | |
| 9.8 | Have discussion been had with Scottish Water? | No | |
| 9.9 | Is there waste water capacity for the proposed development? http://www.scottishwater.co.uk/bu siness/Connections/Connecting-your-property/Asset-Capacity-Search)? | Yes | |
| 9.10 | Is there water capacity for the proposed development? | Yes | |

| http://www.scottishwater.co.uk, siness/Connections/Connecting your-property/Asset-Capacity- Search)? | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Land Use, Built and Cultural | Heritage | |
| 9.11 Built and Cultural Heritage (would the development of the | Significant loss or disturbance | |
| site lead to the loss or disturbance of archaeological sites or vernacular or listed | Some potential loss or disturbance | |
| buildings?) | No loss or disturbance | X |
| 9.12 Natural conservation (would the development of the | Significant loss or disturbance | |
| site lead to the loss or disturbance of wildlife habitats | Some potential loss or disturbance or | |
| species?) | No loss or disturbance | Х |
| 9.13 Landscape features (would the development of the | Significant loss or disturbance | |
| site lead to the loss or disturbance of linear and group features of woods, tree belts, | Some potential loss or disturbance | |
| hedges and stone walls?) | No loss or disturbance | X |
| 9.14 Landscape fit (would the development be | Significant intrusion | |
| intrusive into the surrounding landscape?) | Slight intrusion | X |
| | No intrusion | |
| 9.15 Relationship to existing settlements | Unrelated (essentially a new settlement) | |
| (how well related will the development be to existing | Partially related | |
| settlements?) | Well related to existing settlement | X |
| 9.16 Land use mix (will the development contribut | No contribution | |
| to a balance of land uses, or provide the impetus for attracti | Some contribution | Х |
| new facilities?) | Significant contribution | |
| 9.17 Contamination (are there any contamination of | Significant contamination or tipping present | |
| waste tipping issues with the site?) | Some potential contamination or tipping present | |
| | No contamination or tipping present | X |

| 9.18 | Will the site impact on any water courses? | No | | | |
|------|---|---|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | water courses! | | | | |
| 9.19 | Does the development site contain carbon-rich soils or peatland? http://www.snh.gov.uk/planning-and-development/advice-for-planners-and-developers/soils-and-development/cpp/ | No | | | |
| 9.20 | Is the development site within the airport safety exclusion zone? | No | | | |
| 9.21 | Is the development site within the airport 57dB LAeq noise contours? | No | | | |
| 9.22 | Land use conflict (would the development conflict | Significant conflict | | | |
| | with adjoining land uses or have any air quality or noise issues?) | Some potential conflict | | | |
| | | No conflict | | | x |
| 9.23 | If there are significant conflicts, what mitigation measures are proposed? | N/A | | | |
| | Transport and Accessibility | | | | |
| 9.24 | Has contact been made with the Council's transport team? | No | | | |
| 9.25 | Is access required onto a Trunk road and if so has contact been made with Transport Scotland? | No | | | |
| 9.26 | Accessibility (is the site currently accessible to | | Bus Route | Rail Station | Major Road |
| | bus, rail, or major road network?) | More than 800m Between 400-800m Within 400m | X | х | X |
| 9.27 | Proximity to services and facilities | | 400m | 400- 800m | >800m |
| | (How close are any of the | Community facilities | Х | | |
| | following?) | Local shops | x | | |
| | | Sports facilities | \ | Х | |
| | | Public transport networks Primary schools | X | | |
| 9.28 | Footpath and cycle | No available connection | <u> </u> | | X |
| 3.20 | connections | 140 available collifection | J | | |
| | (are there any existing direct footpath and cycle connections to | Limited range of connec | tions | | |

| 9.29 | community and recreation facilities or employment? Give the Core Path number if core path is present https://www.aberdeencity.gov.uk/ services/environment/core-paths- plan) Proximity to employment opportunities (are there any existing employment opportunities within 1.6km for people using or living in the development you propose?) | None Limited Significant | x |
|------|--|--|----------------------------|
| | Infrastructure | | |
| 9.30 | Physical Infrastructure (does the site have connections to the following utilities?) | Electricity | X |
| | , | Gas | X |
| 9.31 | Does the development have access to high speed broadband? | Yes | |
| 9.32 | Does the development include a Heat Network/District Heating Scheme? | No | |
| 9.33 | How is the development proposing to satisfy the Councils Low and Zero Carbon Policy? | Methods and equipment to be used to determined at the detailed planning sta ever changing requirements and target we utilize solar PV panels and flue gas recovery systems | ge, due to s. Currently |
| 9.34 | Are there any further physical or service infrastructure issues affecting the site? | No | |
| | Public open space | | |
| 9.35 | Will the site provide the required level of open space as per the current LDP (Please provide details of your calculations) | A detailed layout will provide public operaccordance with the LDP policy. | en space in |
| 9.36 | What impact will the | Enhance the Network | |
| | development have on the Green Space Network? | No impact on the Network | |
| | | Negatively impact the Network The site is currently identified as Green | X Space |
| | | The site is currently identified as Green Network. With the introduction of the A area does not contribute to a wider net space, and its loss is not considered st significant | WPR, the work of open |

| 10. | Education | |
|------|---|----|
| 10.1 | Have discussions been had with the Council's Education Department? | No |
| 10.2 | Is there currently education capacity for the proposed development? https://www.aberdeencity.go v.uk/ https://www.aberdeencity.go v.uk/ https://www.aberdeencity.go https://www.aberdeencity.go https://www.aberdeencity.go https://www.aberdeencity.go | |

| 11. | Community benefits | | |
|------|---|--|--|
| | education, leisure and community fa open spaces. Include elements wh | w community facilities (such as local shops, health, acilities), affordable housing, green transport links and ich you anticipate may be required as developer. (Please note, specific contributions will have to be passis of the proposal.) | |
| 11.1 | Does the development proposal give any benefits to the community? If so what benefits does the development bring, and how would they likely be delivered? | Improved housing provision, including much needed affordable housing | |

| 12. | Masterplan Development Fran | nework |
|-----|--|--------|
| 12. | If you have prepared a framework or masterplan showing a possible layout for the site, please include it with this form. | No |

| 13. | Additional attachments | | | | |
|------|---|----------|----------------|--|--|
| | No site is going to be perfect and the checklist above will inevitably raise some potential negative impacts from any development. Where negative impacts are identified, please provide details of their nature and extent and of any mitigation that may be undertaken. Listed below are examples of further information that may be included in your submission; | | | | |
| | | Included | Not Applicable | | |
| 13.1 | Contamination Report | | Х | | |
| 13.2 | Flood Risk Assessment | | Х | | |
| 13.3 | Drainage Impact Assessment | | Х | | |

| 13.4 | Habitat/Biodiversity Assessment | Х |
|------|--|---|
| 13.5 | Landscape Assessment | Х |
| 13.6 | Transport Assessment | Х |
| 13.7 | Other as applicable (e.g. trees, noise, dust, smell, | Х |
| | retail impact assessment etc. please state) | |

| 14. | Development Viability | | |
|------|--|---|---|
| 14.1 | Plan 2017 and supporting Supplementary Guidance, please confirm that you have assessed the financial viability | I confirm that I consider the site to be viable as per the details provided above. | х |
| | | Our Milltimber West development has demonstrated an ongoing market through the economic downturn. Our recently released second phase has sold well, and there is no evidence to suggest this will not continue. It is therefore prudent to plan for an extension of the site beyond the current allocation. | |

The proposed development site is currently grazing land to the south of the Deeside Way and Pittengullies Circle. The south of the site is bounded by the Rover Dee, and a popular footpath known as Lovers' Walk. Alongside an existing development of 7 houses at the foot of Pittengullies Brae, the site is suitable for the development of 10-12 large dwellinghouses set in generous feus, with views across the river. The southern half of the site lends itself to the formation of public open space and the improvement of the footpath network to create an area of riverside park.

While the site is currently identified as Green Belt/Green Space Network, this bid seeks the development on land that forms a logical infill site, with development to the north and east, and the river to the south.

A landscape and visual impact assessment has been prepared for the site, and is appended to this bid. The assessment concludes that the development proposals for three sites (sites 1, 2 and 4 – site 2 having been constructed following allocation in the 2012 LDP) will have a limited visual impact. Nevertheless, substantial planting and landscaping works are proposed to ensure the site is ultimately fully integrated with its surroundings.

This bid therefore seeks the allocation of around 10-12 houses with access from Pittengullies Brae. Bancon Submit that this is a sustainable and deliverable development opportunity, in keeping with the surrounding land uses, and can contribute towards the housing needs and demands of the area.

The Strategic Development Plan Main Issues Report identifies a requirement to identify a range of smaller sites, to compliment the large allocations in the 2017 Local Development Plan that have, to date, failed to deliver the housing needs and demands at a suitable rate. Inclusion of more, smaller sites can ensure that the housing land supply remains genuinely effective and deliverable.

